# The influence of nitrogen and phosphorus supply and genotype on mesophyll conductance limitations to photosynthesis in *Pinus radiata*

HORACIO E. BOWN,<sup>1,2</sup> MICHAEL S. WATT,<sup>3</sup> EUAN G. MASON,<sup>4</sup> PETER W. CLINTON<sup>3</sup> and DAVID WHITEHEAD<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Forestry, University of Chile, P.O. Box 9206, Santiago, Chile

<sup>2</sup> Corresponding author (hbown@uchile.cl)

<sup>3</sup> Scion, P.O. Box 29237, Christchurch, New Zealand

<sup>4</sup> School of Forestry, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand

<sup>5</sup> Landcare Research, P.O. Box 40, Lincoln 7640, Christchurch, New Zealand

Received May 12, 2009; accepted June 25, 2009; published online July 16, 2009

Summary Mesophyll conductance,  $g_m$ , may pose significant limitations to photosynthesis and may be differentially affected by nutrition and genotype in *Pinus radiata* D. Don. Simultaneous measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence were made to determine  $g_{\rm m}$ , using the constant J method (Harley, P.C., F. Loreto, G. Di Marco and T.D. Sharkey. 1992. Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to CO2 flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant Physiol. 98:1429-1436), in a fast- and a slowgrowing clone of *P. radiata* grown in a greenhouse with a factorial combination of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) supply. Values of  $g_m$  increased linearly with the rate of photosynthesis at saturating irradiance and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $A_{\text{sat}}$  ( $g_{\text{m}} = 0.020A_{\text{sat}}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.25$ , P < 0.001) and with stomatal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer,  $g_s (g_m = 1.16g_s, r^2 = 0.14, P < 0.001)$ . Values of  $g_{\rm m}$  were greater than those of stomatal conductance,  $g_{\rm s}$ , and the ratio  $(g_m/g_s)$  was not influenced by single or combined N and P additions or clone with a mean  $(\pm SE)$ value of  $1.22 \pm 0.06$ . Relative limitations to mesophyll conductance,  $L_{\rm m}$  (16%) to photosynthesis, were generally greater than those imposed by stomata,  $L_s$  (13%). The mean  $(\pm SE)$  CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the intercellular air spaces ( $C_i$ ) was 53  $\pm$  3 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than that in the atmosphere ( $C_a$ ). Mean ( $\pm$ SE) CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chloroplasts ( $C_c$ ) was 48  $\pm$  2 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than  $C_i$ . Values of  $L_s$ ,  $L_m$  and CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion gradients posed by  $g_s$  $(C_{\rm a} - C_{\rm i})$  and  $g_{\rm m}$   $(C_{\rm i} - C_{\rm c})$  did not significantly differ with nutrient supply or clone. Mean values of  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$  calculated on a  $C_{\rm c}$  basis were 15.4% and 3.1% greater than those calculated on a C<sub>i</sub> basis, which translated into different slopes of the  $J_{\rm max}/V_{\rm cmax}$  relationship ( $C_c$  basis:  $J_{max} = 2.11 V_{cmax}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.88$ , P < 0.001;  $C_{\rm i}$  basis:  $J_{\rm max} = 2.43 V_{\rm cmax}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.86$ , P < 0.001). These results will be useful for correcting estimates of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  used to characterize the biochemical properties of photosynthesis for *P. radiata*.

Keywords: chloroplastic  $CO_2$  concentration, electron transport, nutrient limitation, Rubisco carboxylation, stomatal limitation.

### Introduction

The model of photosynthesis originally proposed by Farquhar et al. (1980) is widely used for analysing measurements of photosynthesis for individual leaves and in models to scale carbon exchange for canopies. In this model, photosynthesis is limited by the maximal rate of ribulose-1,5bisphosphate (RuBP) carboxylase–oxygenase (Rubisco) carboxylation,  $V_{\rm cmax}$ , and by the maximal electron transport rate driving the regeneration of RuBP,  $J_{\rm max}$  (Farquhar et al. 1980, von Caemmerer and Farquhar 1981, von Caemmerer 2000). These parameters are fitted to the response of photosynthesis, A, to the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the intercellular spaces,  $C_i$ , known as  $A/C_i$  curves. Values of  $C_i$ are estimated from stomatal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer,  $g_{\rm s}$ , and the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration external to the leaf,  $C_{\rm a}$ .

In most studies, the mesophyll conductance of  $CO_2$  from the intercellular spaces to the sites of carboxylation in chloroplasts,  $g_m$ , has been considered to be large enough to be negligible (Farquhar et al. 1980). However, there is a growing realization that the significance of  $g_m$  in limiting photosynthesis can be similar to the limitation imposed by stomata (Harley et al. 1992, Loreto et al. 1992, von Caemmerer 2000, Warren et al. 2003, Warren and Adams 2006, Flexas et al. 2008). As a result,  $C_i$  is greater than the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the chloroplasts,  $C_c$ , and values of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  are underestimated when fitted to estimates of  $C_i$  rather than to those of  $C_c$ .

Mesophyll conductance has been widely measured in herbaceous plants and angiosperm tree species, but there are few measurements for conifers (e.g., De Lucia et al. 2003, Warren et al. 2003, Warren 2006). While comparisons of g<sub>m</sub> between species and plant functional groups (Loreto et al. 1992) have been focused upon, fewer studies have investigated the effects of environmental variables on mesophyll conductance in tree species (e.g., Warren et al. 2004, Grassi and Magnani 2005). Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) supply to leaves affect Rubisco activity (Evans and Terashima 1988) and photosynthesis, and strong relationships between leaf nutrient concentrations and A,  $V_{\rm cmax}$ and  $J_{\text{max}}$  are well established (Conroy et al. 1986, Walcroft et al. 1997, Loustau et al. 1999, Grassi et al. 2002, Bown et al. 2007). However, little is known about the response of  $g_{\rm m}$  to nutrient supply and the implications for the regulation of photosynthesis and the determination of correct values for  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$  for use in models that scale photosynthesis from leaves to canopies. Further, the significance of the regulation of photosynthesis by  $g_{\rm m}$  to account for the differences in growth rate by different genotypes has been largely unexplored in woody plants. To test this possibility, we chose to work on two clones, A and B, of Pinus radiata D. Don with known differences in growth rate. Our previous measurements had shown that the rates of diameter, height and foliage area growth were 22, 17 and 29% higher for clone A than for clone B (Bown et al. 2007).

In this study, we used a factorial experiment to measure  $g_s$ ,  $g_m$ ,  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  in two clones of *P. radiata* with known differences in growth rate that were supplied with two rates of N and P. Our objectives were to (i) investigate the effects of N and P supply on  $g_m$ , (ii) determine the relative magnitude of limitation to photosynthesis by  $g_s$  and  $g_m$ , and biochemical processes, (iii) investigate differences in  $g_m$  between the two clones with known differences in tree growth rate and (iv) quantify differences in  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  when calculated on the basis of  $C_i$  and  $C_c$ .

# Materials and methods

#### Plant material

The experiment was conducted using a factorial design with two clones of *P. radiata*, irrigated with two levels of nitrogen ( $N_0 = 1.43$  and  $N_1 = 7.14 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$ ) and phosphorus ( $P_0 = 0.084$  and  $P_1 = 0.420 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$ ) supply. Ingestad (1979) suggested that N should be provided at concentrations of 100 ppm (7.14 mM) and P at 13 ppm (0.420 mM) for optimum growth of *Pinus pinaster* Ait. These concentrations were chosen as the high-N and high-P supply regimes. The low-N (1.43 mM) and low-P (0.084 mM) supply regimes were chosen as one-fifth of the high-N and high-P concentrations, respectively. Nitrogen was provided as NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, while P was provided as KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Nutrients other than N and P were provided at concentrations of 0.51 mol m<sup>-3</sup> K, 0.25 mol m<sup>-3</sup> Ca, 0.41 mol m<sup>-3</sup> Mg, 0.28 mol m<sup>-3</sup> S, 12.53 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> Fe, 0.45 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> Zn, 0.47 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> Cu, 7.28 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> Mn, 0.073 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> Mo, 18.50 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> B, 0.85 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> Cl and 0.13 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> Na, following Ingestad (1979). The clones with a fast (clone A) and a slow (clone B) rate of growth were selected from a set of 400 genotypes planted in the Purokohukohu Experimental Basin (Beets et al. 2004). Further details of the clones have been described previously by Bown et al. (2007).

One-year-old P. radiata cuttings from clones A and B were raised under standard nursery conditions and transplanted to 4.3-1 pots containing silica sand during the first year of growth. The roots of all plants were artificially inoculated with the spores of Rhizopogon rubescens Tul. and the presence of mycorrhizae was confirmed either by the visual inspection of roots or by the presence of fruiting bodies. At the end of the first year, plants were transplanted to 42-1 pots and were grown for another year. Nutrient treatments were allocated randomly to the plants and applied for 24 months. All plants received 0.51 of nutrient solution per week during the first year, and this amount was doubled during the second year. All plants were supplied with water daily with the same amounts in excess of requirements, and the pots were allowed to drain freely. Plants were grown in a thermostatically controlled greenhouse where mean ( $\pm$ SE) air temperature during the day was 18  $\pm$  4 °C and at night 15  $\pm$  4 °C. The plant material was the same as that used in our earlier investigation of the effects of nutrient supply and clone on photosynthesis parameters for *P. radiata* (Bown et al. 2007).

# Gas exchange measurements

Simultaneous measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence were carried out on six trees per treatment for each clone (48 plants) 18 months after the experiment was started. Trees were moved from the greenhouse to a thermostatically controlled room set at 20 °C the day before the measurements were done. All measurements were done with a portable photosynthesis system equipped with an integrated chlorophyll fluorescence and gas exchange chamber (Model LI-6400-40, Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE).

For each tree, three fascicles were placed across the 200-mm<sup>2</sup> cuvette to avoid shading between needles. Temperature in the cuvette was maintained at 20 °C, while the leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit was maintained below 1 kPa. The needles were left to equilibrate for 10 min at a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 360 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> and saturating irradiance (1500 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), before measuring the response of photosynthesis, *A*, to intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $C_i$ . External CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $C_a$ , was supplied in steps at the set points 360, 300, 200, 150, 125, 100, 75, 50, 360, 450, 600, 800, 1000, 1200 and 1500 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, with a saturating irradiance, *Q* (400–700 nm), maintained at

1500  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Measurements were recorded after the values of *A*, *C*<sub>i</sub> and *g*<sub>s</sub> were stable, but with a minimum waiting time of 4 min at each step.

At each value of  $C_i$ , measurements of fluorescence for the light-adapted foliage were done simultaneously, and the measurements of F' and  $F_{\rm m}'$  were used to calculate the photochemical efficiency of photosystem II,  $\Phi_{\rm PSII}$ .

Values of the rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light,  $R_d$ , and the chloroplastic CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point,  $\Gamma^*$ , were estimated for each sample using the Laisk method (von Caemmerer 2000). The  $A/C_i$  response was measured at three levels of low irradiance (Q = 50, 100 and 300 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for seven decreasing values of  $C_a$  from 200 to 0 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>. Linear relationships between A and  $C_i$  were fitted, and the point of intersection of the three lines allowed the estimation of  $R_d$  and  $\Gamma^*$ .

The photosynthesis model described by Farquhar et al. (1980) was fitted to the  $A/C_i$  and  $A/C_c$  curves by non-linear least-squares regression (SigmaPlot, Version 7.1, SPSS, Chicago, IL). Values of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $R_d$  were estimated from the lower part of the  $A/C_i$  or  $A/C_c$  curve ( $C_i < 220 \,\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>), and these values were then used to estimate  $J_{max}$  over the entire range of measured  $C_i$  or  $C_c$ . The Michaelis–Menten constants of Rubisco for CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>,  $K_c$  and  $K_o$ , used in the fitting (at a temperature of 20 °C) were 231.2  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> and 213.9 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Bernacchi et al. 2001).

#### Calculations of mesophyll conductance

Mesophyll conductance,  $g_{\rm m}$ , was estimated using the 'constant J' method (Harley et al. 1992, Loreto et al. 1992), where J is the rate of electron transport. This method uses the data in the RuBP-regeneration limited portion of the  $A/C_i$  curve, where the rates of electron transport are constant. Within this region, further increases in photosynthesis with increasing  $C_i$  are due to the suppression of photorespiration as the rate of carboxylation progressively substitutes the rate of oxygenation. Thus, photosynthesis is related to  $C_c$  and the relative  $CO_2/O_2$  specificity of Rubisco, normally described by the chloroplastic CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point,  $\Gamma^*$ . The constant J method is sensitive to errors in both the rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light,  $R_{\rm d}$ , and  $\Gamma^*$ , and the approach assumes that both J and  $g_m$ are constant across the range of  $C_{\rm a}$  concentrations used for the measurements (Harley et al. 1992, Pons et al. 2009). The relationship of  $g_{\rm m}$  with  $\Gamma^*$ ,  $R_{\rm d}$  and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point in the absence of day respiration,  $C_i^*$ , is given by  $\Gamma^* = C_i^* + R_d/g_m$  (von Caemmerer 2000, Peisker and Apel 2001).

The photochemical efficiency of PSII ( $\Phi_{PSII}$ ) was estimated from chlorophyll fluorescence measurements as  $(F_{m'} - F)/F_{m'}$ , where *F* and  $F_{m'}$  are the steady and maximal fluorescence in the light-adapted sample, respectively (Schreiber et al. 1994). The values of  $\Phi_{PSII}$  are directly proportional to the rate of electron transport through PSII,

and therefore can be used to determine the portion of the  $A/C_i$  curve where the rate of electron transport is constant (Genty et al. 1989). Optimal values for  $g_m$  were resolved iteratively from three or more measurements of photosynthesis at high values of  $C_i$  that correspond with constant rates of electron transport (Singsaas et al. 2003, Warren 2006). This was done using the solver add-in for Microsoft Excel with measurements of A,  $C_i$ ,  $\Gamma^*$  and  $R_d$  to resolve the value of  $g_m$  that best explained changes in photosynthesis with changes in  $C_i$  indicated by a minimum variance in J (Harley et al. 1992, Singsaas et al. 2003, Warren 2006).

#### Stomatal and mesophyll limitations to photosynthesis

The  $A/C_i$  response and the values for  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  were used to partition stomatal, mesophyll and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis. Values of stomatal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer were calculated by dividing values for water vapour transfer by 1.64 (Jones 1992), accounting for the difference in rates of diffusion of water vapour and CO<sub>2</sub> in air. Relative stomatal limitations were calculated using the Farquhar and Sharkey method (1982) as  $L_s = 1 - 1$  $A_{\rm a-gs}/A_{\rm i-gs}$ , where  $A_{\rm a-gs}$  and  $A_{\rm i-gs}$  are the actual value of A and the value estimated when  $g_s$  is infinite, respectively. Similarly, following Bernacchi et al. (2002), relative limitation to photosynthesis imposed by  $g_m$  was calculated as  $L_{\rm m} = 1 - A_{\rm a-gm}/A_{\rm i-gm}$ , where  $A_{\rm a-gm}$  and  $A_{\rm i-gm}$  are the actual value of A and the value estimated when  $g_m$  is infinite, respectively. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chloroplasts,  $C_c$ , was calculated from  $C_c = C_i - A/g_m$ .

#### Foliage surface area and nutrient concentrations

All measurements are presented on a hemi-surface area basis. Following the completion of  $A/C_i$  curves, the foliage surface area of the needles used for each measurement was calculated for fascicles consisting of three needles from  $[nld(1 + \pi/3)]/2$ , where d is the fascicle diameter, l is the fascicle length and n is the number of needles in the sample. Foliage samples were dried at 70 °C to constant dry mass and were used to calculate the foliage area to mass ratio, S. Foliage samples were finely ground, acid-digested by the Kjeldahl method, and the N and P concentrations were determined colorimetrically (Blakemore et al. 1987). Foliage concentrations are expressed on a hemi-surface area basis ( $N_a$ ,  $P_a$ ) and photosynthetic nitrogen-,  $E_N$  and phosphorus-,  $E_P$  use efficiencies were defined as  $A/N_a$  and  $A/P_a$ , respectively.

### Data analysis

All analyses were undertaken at the tree level using SAS software (2000; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Variables were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance, and transformations were made as necessary to meet the underlying statistical assumptions of the models used. The main and interactive effects of N and P supply and genotype

on  $g_m$  and the associated variables were examined by analysis of variance. Tukey's least significant difference test was used to distinguish among individual mean values where applicable with a confidence level of  $P \leq 0.05$ . Differences in the slopes and the intercepts in the relationships between mesophyll conductance and both light-saturated photosynthetic rates and stomatal conductance were tested for significance between the clones using analysis of covariance. The analysis of covariance was also applied to the  $J_{max}/V_{cmax}$  linear relationship to test the influence of nutrient treatment and genotype.

# Results

## Treatment effects on foliar nutrient concentrations

Foliage N and P concentrations increased in relation to the rates of supply ( $F_{3,40} > 40$ , P < 0.001) (Table 1). At the tree level, observed  $N_a$  ranged almost fivefold from 38 to 184 mmol m<sup>-2</sup>, whereas  $P_a$  ranged almost eightfold from 1.2 to 9.4 mmol m<sup>-2</sup>. The  $N_a/P_a$  ratio ranged 18-fold from 5 to 91 (mole basis). Values of  $N_a/P_a$  did not differ significantly between the N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub> and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> treatments with a mean ( $\pm$ SE) value of 26.3  $\pm$  1.1. However, the  $N_a/P_a$  ratios for trees in the N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>0</sub> treatments differed significantly with all other treatments with mean ( $\pm$ SE) values of  $N_a$ ,  $P_a$  and their ratio were not influenced by the clone ( $F_{1,40} < 0.38$ , P > 0.54).

# Treatment effects on rates of photosynthesis

The rate of photosynthesis at saturating irradiance and ambient  $CO_2$  concentration,  $A_{sat}$ , increased significantly

with N additions ( $F_{3,40} = 9.2$ , P < 0.001) from 6.9 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in low-N treatments to 9.6 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in high-N treatments, independent of clone ( $F_{1,40} = 3.2$ , P = 0.08). Phosphorus additions only slightly enhanced the rate of photosynthesis (Table 1).

Photosynthetic nitrogen-,  $E_N$  and phosphorus-,  $E_P$  use efficiencies were strongly influenced by nutrient supply ( $F_{3,40} > 9.9$ , P < 0.001) not differing between clones ( $F_{1,40} < 0.12$ , P > 0.73) (Table 1). Values of  $E_N$  were similar in nutrient treatments other than the low value for the N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>0</sub> treatment. Values of  $E_P$  were similar in the balanced treatments N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub> and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>, and were intermediate between values for the imbalanced treatments N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>0</sub> (Table 1).

#### Stomatal and mesophyll conductances

Mesophyll conductance  $(g_m)$  increased significantly with nutrient supply ( $F_{3,40} = 5.49$ , P = 0.003) (Table 2), being 26% higher in the high-N high-P supply regime than in the low-N low-P supply treatment. Although they did not differ significantly, values of  $g_m$  for trees in the N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>0</sub> treatment were 13% higher than the  $g_m$  values for trees in the N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>1</sub> treatment.

Although stomatal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer,  $g_s$ , was not significantly influenced by nutrient treatment, clone or their interaction,  $g_s$  increased with increasing nutrient supply (Table 2). Values of  $g_s$  for trees growing in the N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>0</sub> treatment were 18% higher than those for trees growing in the N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>1</sub> treatment. Mean values of  $g_m$  were greater than those of  $g_s$ , and the mean ratio ( $g_m/g_s$ ) was (±1 SE) 1.22 ± 0.06, not being significantly affected by nutrient treatment ( $F_{3,29} = 0.68$ , P = 0.57) or clone ( $F_{1,29} = 0.22$ , P = 0.65) (Table 2).

Table 1. Foliage area to mass ratio, *S*, N and P concentrations on an area basis ( $N_a$  and  $P_a$ ), light-saturated rate of photosynthesis,  $A_{sat}$ , and photosynthetic nitrogen-  $E_N$  and phosphorus-use efficiency,  $E_P$  across nutrient treatments and clones. Nutrient treatments comprised two N supply regimes ( $N_0 = 1.43$  and  $N_1 = 7.14$  mol m<sup>-3</sup>) and two P supply regimes ( $P_0 = 0.084$  and  $P_1 = 0.420$  mol m<sup>-3</sup>). Values are presented as mean values ( $\pm 1$  SE) for each treatment and clone. Significance of main effects of clones (C) and nutrient treatments (T) or the interaction between clones and treatments (C × T) is shown as ns, non-significant; \*\*\*, significant at P < 0.001. Separation of mean values was determined by a Tukey test. Different letters within treatments or clones indicate that the mean values are significantly different at P < 0.05.

	S	N <sub>a</sub>	Pa	$A_{\rm sat}$	E <sub>N</sub>	E <sub>P</sub>
	$(m^2 kg^{-1})$	$(\text{mmol } \text{m}^{-2})$	$(\text{mmol } \text{m}^{-2})$	$(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$	$(\mu mol mol^{-1} s^{-1})$	$(\mu mol mol^{-1} s^{-1})$
Treatments	5					
$N_0P_0$	$9.3 \pm 0.6 a$	$57 \pm 3 a$	$2.4~\pm~0.2~a$	$6.8~\pm~0.4~a$	$125 \pm 12 a$	$2910 \pm 245 a$
$N_0P_1$	$8.8~\pm~0.4~a$	$58 \pm 5 a$	$6.8~\pm~0.4~b$	$7.0~\pm~0.4~\mathrm{a}$	$119 \pm 6 a$	$1027~\pm~95~b$
$N_1P_0$	$9.5 \pm 0.7 \ a$	$141~\pm~9~b$	$2.0~\pm~0.2~\mathrm{a}$	$9.4~\pm~0.6~b$	$70~\pm~7~b$	$4894~\pm~398~c$
$N_1P_1$	$8.7~\pm~0.7~a$	$85 \pm 5 c$	$3.0 \pm 0.2 a$	$9.8~\pm~0.4~b$	$117 \pm 4 a$	$3335 \pm 176 a$
Clones						
А	$8.1~\pm~0.3~a$	$82 \pm 9 a$	$3.6 \pm 0.4 a$	$7.8~\pm~0.4~\mathrm{a}$	$108 \pm 7 a$	$3001~\pm~349~a$
В	$10.0~\pm~0.5~b$	$90~\pm~8~a$	$3.4~\pm~0.4~a$	$8.8~\pm~0.4~a$	$107~\pm~7~a$	$3172~\pm~325~a$
ANOVA						
Т	ns	***	***	***	***	***
С	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
$C \times T$	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

Table 2. Comparison of mesophyll,  $g_m$ , and stomatal,  $g_s$ , conductances to CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion, and their ratio  $(g_m/g_s)$ , across nutrient treatments and clones. Nutrient treatments comprised two N supply regimes (N<sub>0</sub> = 1.43 and N<sub>1</sub>=7.14 mol m<sup>-3</sup>) and two P supply regimes (P<sub>0</sub> = 0.084 and P<sub>1</sub> = 0.420 mol m<sup>-3</sup>). Values are presented as mean values (±1 SE) for each treatment and clone. Significance of main effects of clones (C) and nutrient treatments (T) or the interaction between clones and treatments (C × T) is shown as ns, non-significant; \*\*, significant at P < 0.01. Separation of mean values was determined by a Tukey test. Different letters within treatments or clones indicate that the mean values are significantly different at P < 0.05.

	$g_{\rm m} \pmod{{\rm m}^{-2} {\rm s}^{-1}}$	$g_{\rm s} \pmod{{\rm mmol}\ {\rm m}^{-2}\ {\rm s}^{-1}}$	$g_{ m m}/g_{ m s}$	
Treatm	ents			
$N_0P_0$	$160 \pm 10 a$	$132 \pm 14 a$	$1.12 \pm 0.13 a$	
$N_0P_1$	$156 \pm 10 a$	$122 \pm 14 a$	$1.29 \pm 0.19 \ a$	
$N_1P_0$	$176 \pm 6 ab$	$144 \pm 10 a$	$1.24 \pm 0.08 \ a$	
$N_1P_1$	$202 \pm 8 b$	$172 \pm 12 a$	$1.21 \pm 0.08 \ a$	
Clones				
А	$164 \pm 6 a$	$142 \pm 6 a$	$1.20 \pm 0.07 \ a$	
В	$182~\pm~8~a$	$142~\pm~12~a$	$1.24 \pm 0.11 \ a$	
ANOV	4			
Т	**	ns	ns	
С	ns	ns	ns	
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{T}$	ns	ns	ns	

# *Relationships between mesophyll conductance and photosynthesis*

There were significant positive relationships between  $g_m$  and  $A_{sat}$  ( $r^2 = 0.25$ , P < 0.001),  $g_s$  ( $r^2 = 0.14$ , P < 0.001), but not with foliage N ( $F_{3,43} = 1.33$ , P = 0.27) or P ( $F_{3,43} = 1.87$ , P = 0.15) concentrations.

Slopes  $(F_{1-3, 40-44} < 1.96, P > 0.14)$  and intercepts  $(F_{1-3, 40-44} < 0.77, P > 0.46)$  of the linear relationship of  $g_{\rm m}$  against  $A_{\rm sat}$  and  $g_{\rm s}$  were not influenced by nutrient treatment or clone (Figure 1).

# Stomatal and mesophyll limitations to photosynthesis

Relative stomatal,  $L_{\rm s}$ , and mesophyll,  $L_{\rm m}$ , limitations to photosynthesis were not significantly influenced by main or interactive effects of nutrient treatment or clone  $(F_{7,40} < 1.03, P > 0.43)$  (Table 3). The mean (±SE) relative limitation imposed by  $g_{\rm m}$  ( $L_{\rm m}$ ) was 0.16 ± 0.01 (range 0.07–0.25) and was generally greater than the stomatal limitation ( $L_{\rm s}$ ), which was 0.13 ± 0.01 (range 0.03–0.20).

#### Parameters describing photosynthesis

The mitochondrial CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point in the light,  $\Gamma^*$ , was very similar across all nutrient treatments and clones ( $F_{7,40} = 0.52$ , P = 0.81) with a mean ( $\pm$ SE) value of 49.4  $\pm$  1.4 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4). In contrast, the rate of mitochondrial respiration,  $R_d$ , was more variable and tended to increase with nutrient supply ( $F_{3,40} = 1.87$ , P = 0.15), independent of clone ( $F_{1,40} = 2.71$ , P = 0.06).

The maximum rate of Rubisco carboxylation ( $V_{\rm cmax}$ ) calculated on a  $C_{\rm c}$  basis increased significantly with nutrient supply ( $F_{3,40} = 6.65$ , P < 0.001), being 65% greater in the high-nutrient supply treatment ( $N_1P_1$ ) than in the low-nutrient supply treatment ( $N_0P_0$ ). Similarly, the rate of electron transport driving RuBP regeneration on a  $C_{\rm c}$ basis ( $J_{\rm max}$ ) increased significantly with nutrient supply ( $F_{3,40} = 4.94$ , P = 0.005) in the low- compared with the high-nutrient supply treatment (55% increase). The values of  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$  in the  $N_0P_1$  and  $N_1P_0$  treatments were



Figure 1. The response of mesophyll conductance,  $g_m$ , to (A) the rate of photosynthesis at constant air temperature of 20 °C, saturating irradiance (1500 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $A_{sat}$  and (B) stomatal conductance,  $g_s$ . Fitted (solid) lines are (a)  $g_m = 0.020A_{sat}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.25$ , P < 0.001 and (b)  $g_m = 1.16g_s$ ,  $r^2 = 0.14$ , P < 0.001. Slopes and intercepts of the  $g_m/A_{sat}$  and  $g_m/g_s$  linear relationships did not differ significantly between nutrient treatments or clones (P > 0.14). Dashed lines are given by (a)  $g_m = 0.025A_{sat}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.76$  and (b)  $g_m = 1.4g_s$ ,  $r^2 = 0.80$ , determined for 15 angiosperm species by Loreto et al. (1992). Nutrient treatments are shown as  $\bigcirc$ , N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>;  $\triangle$ , N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>1</sub>;  $\blacktriangle$ , N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>0</sub> and  $\bigoplus$ , N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>.

Table 3. Relative stomatal,  $L_s$ , and mesophyll,  $L_m$ , limitations to photosynthesis across nutrient treatments and clones. Treatments comprised a combination of two N supply regimes (N<sub>0</sub> = 1.43 and N<sub>1</sub> = 7.14 mol m<sup>-3</sup>) and two P supply regimes (P<sub>0</sub> = 0.084 and P<sub>1</sub> = 0.420 mol m<sup>-3</sup>). Values are presented as mean values (±1 SE) for each treatment and clone. Differences between clones and treatments were non-significant (ns).

	Relative limitation	(%)
	$L_{\rm s}$	$L_{ m m}$
Treatments		
$N_0P_0$	$12 \pm 2 a$	$13 \pm 2 a$
$N_0P_1$	$13 \pm 3 a$	$16 \pm 2 a$
$N_1P_0$	$12 \pm 1 a$	$18 \pm 1 a$
$N_1P_1$	$13 \pm 1 a$	$15 \pm 1 a$
Clones		
А	$11 \pm 1 a$	$15 \pm 1 a$
В	$14 \pm 2 a$	$17 \pm 1 a$
Mean	$13 \pm 1$	$16 \pm 1$
ANOVA		
Т	ns	ns
С	ns	ns
$C \times T$	ns	ns

intermediate between the N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub> and N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub> treatments. Single N additions exerted a greater influence on the values of  $V_{\rm cmax}$  (29% increase) and  $J_{\rm max}$  (24% increase) than single P additions. Mean values of  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$  were 15% and 20% higher in the slow- (clone B) than in the fast-growing clone (clone A) (data not shown). However, genotype did not influence the values of  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$  when we

included the leaf area to mass ratio (M) as a covariate in the analysis (Table 4).

Single or combined N and P additions and genotype did not influence the ratio  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  ( $F_{7,40} = 1.16$ , P = 0.35) with a mean value of  $2.15 \pm 0.03$ . Neither slopes ( $F_{3,40} < 0.24$ , P > 0.86) nor intercepts ( $F_{3,40} < 0.23$ , P > 0.87) of the linear  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  relationships significantly differ between nutrient treatments and clones (Figure 2). Mean values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  calculated on a  $C_c$  basis were 15.4% and 3.1% greater than those calculated on a  $C_i$  basis, respectively. As a consequence, the slope of the relationship between  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  was 13.2% lower when calculated on a  $C_c$  rather than a  $C_i$  basis.

#### Discussion

Consistent with our earlier work on *P. radiata* (Bown et al. 2007), we have shown that  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  increase with increasing foliage N and P concentrations. However, there were no significant effects of foliage N and P concentrations on  $g_m$ , even when nutrient supplies were very low. Evans and Terashima (1988) showed that  $g_m$  decreased with low N availability in spinach. Our data show that the average increase in foliage N concentration between the lowest (N<sub>0</sub>P<sub>0</sub>) and highest (N<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>) treatment of 49% resulted in an average increase in mesophyll conductance of 26%. This increase in  $g_m$  per unit N concentration is very similar to the values for a range of conifers and perennial angiosperms, but less than that for *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. and much less than the values for annual angiosperms (Warren 2004). In rice, the increased rates of photosynthesis for leaves with

Table 4. The chloroplastic CO<sub>2</sub> compensation concentration in the absence of mitochondrial respiration,  $\Gamma^*$ , the rate of mitochondrial respiration in the light,  $R_d$ , the maximal rate of Rubisco carboxylation,  $V_{cmax}$ , the maximal rate of electron transport driving regeneration of RuBP,  $J_{max}$ , and the ratio  $J_{max}/V_{cmax}$  across nutrient treatments and clones. The leaf area to mass ratio was used as a covariate in the analysis of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$ . Measurements were done at a constant air temperature of 20 °C, and values of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  were obtained from  $A/C_i$  curves at saturating irradiance (1500 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Nutrient treatments comprised two N supply regimes (N<sub>0</sub> = 1.43 and N<sub>1</sub> = 7.14 mol m<sup>-3</sup>) and two P supply regimes (P<sub>0</sub> = 0.084 and P<sub>1</sub> = 0.420 mol m<sup>-3</sup>). Values are presented as mean values (±1 SE) for each treatment and clone. Significance of main effects of clones (C) and nutrient treatments (T) or the interaction between clones and treatments (C × T) is shown as ns, non-significant; \*\*, significant at P < 0.01; \*\*\*, significant at P < 0.001. Separation of mean values was determined by a Tukey test where applicable. Different letters within treatments or clones indicate that the mean values are significantly different at P < 0.05.

	$\Gamma^*$ (µmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{R_{\rm d}}{(\mu {\rm mol}\ {\rm m}^{-2}\ {\rm s}^{-1})}$	$V_{\rm cmax} \\ (\mu {\rm mol} \ {\rm m}^{-2} \ {\rm s}^{-1})$	$J_{\rm max} \\ (\mu {\rm mol} \ {\rm m}^{-2} \ {\rm s}^{-1})$	$J_{\rm max}/V_{\rm cmax}$	
Treatments						
$N_0P_0$	$47.6 \pm 2.9 \ a$	$1.08 \pm 0.06 \ a$	$26.8 \pm 1.6 a$	$60.2 \pm 4.2 \text{ a}$	$2.24 \pm 0.08 \ a$	
$N_0P_1$	$49.7 \pm 2.5 \ a$	$1.22 \pm 0.18 \ a$	$30.8~\pm~2.6~ab$	$66.2 \pm 5.2 \text{ a}$	$2.15 \pm 0.03 \ a$	
$N_1P_0$	$50.2 \pm 2.8 \ a$	$1.22 \pm 0.12 a$	$39.8 \pm 4.2 \text{ bc}$	$82.2~\pm~8.8~ab$	$2.07 \pm 0.04 \ a$	
$N_1P_1$	$50.1 \pm 3.6 \ a$	$1.4 \pm 0.18 \ a$	$44.2~\pm~3.2~\mathrm{c}$	$93.4 \pm 7.2 \text{ b}$	$2.12 \pm 0.05 \ a$	
Clones						
А	$50.3 \pm 2.0 \text{ a}$	$1.04 ~\pm~ 0.07 ~a$	$36.4 \pm 2.8 \ a$	$75.8 \pm 5.8 \text{ a}$	$2.11 \pm 0.05 a$	
В	$48.5 \pm 2.1 \text{ a}$	$1.42 \pm 0.11 \ a$	$34.4 \pm 2.2 a$	$75.4~\pm~4.8~a$	$2.18~\pm~0.04~a$	
ANOVA						
Т	ns	ns	***	**	ns	
С	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{T}$	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	



Figure 2. Relationship between the maximum rate of electron transport driving regeneration of RuBP,  $J_{max}$ , and the maximum rate of Rubisco carboxylation,  $V_{cmax}$ , calculated on the basis of chloroplastic CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $C_c$  (solid line) and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $C_i$  (dotted lines). On a  $C_c$  basis:  $J_{max} = 2.11V_{cmax}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.88$ , P < 0.001; on a  $C_i$  basis:  $J_{max} = 2.43V_{cmax}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.86$ , P < 0.001. Measurements were done at a constant air temperature of 20 °C and saturating irradiance (1500 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Mean values of  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  calculated on a  $C_c$  basis were 15.4% and 3.1% greater than those on a  $C_i$  basis. Slopes and intercepts of the linear relationships between  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  did not differ significantly between clones (P > 0.87). Open symbols represent clone A and closed symbols represent clone B for  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  calculated on a  $C_c$  basis of a  $C_i$  basis not shown).

high-N concentration compared with those at low-N concentration were attributed to higher values of  $C_c$  at the chloroplasts, resulting from higher values of  $g_m$  because of increased chloroplast size (Li et al. 2009).

The limitation to photosynthesis of  $g_m$  was small (16%) and similar to the stomatal limitation (13%). The magnitude of these limitations to photosynthesis was also independent of foliage nutrient status. These observations together suggest that the limitations to photosynthesis resulting from low nutrient availability in *P. radiata* are dominantly associated with biochemical processes and much less dependent on stomatal and mesophyll conductances. Further, the lack of differences in  $V_{cmax}$ ,  $J_{max}$ ,  $g_m$  and limitations to photosynthesis by stomatal and mesophyll conductances between the two clones suggests that differences in growth rate were associated with processes other than photosynthesis, possibly the additional amount of light intercepted by the larger amount and size of needles (Bown et al. 2007).

The values we observed for  $g_m$  are consistent with those reported in other studies. The mean value across all treatments was 170 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, similar to the value of 153 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for *P. radiata* reported by De Lucia et al. (2003). There are few other measurements of  $g_m$  in woody species, especially conifers. Warren et al. (2003) measured the values of  $g_m$  between 140 and 200 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> throughout a 33-m tall *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco canopy, and similar values varying from 120 to 170 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for needles of different ages were measured in a *P. pinaster* plantation (Warren 2006). Values of  $g_m$  were much lower for the slow-growing native conifers *Dacrydium cupressinum* (32 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and *Prumnopitys ferruginea* (G. Benn. ex. D. Don.) de Laub. (52 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in a New Zealand rainforest (De Lucia et al. 2003). Overall, these data are consistent with the values obtained from nine coniferous and 10 broadleaved (Manter and Kerrigan 2004) and a wider range of 122 species (Flexas et al. 2008), showing that  $g_m$  is the lowest in evergreen conifers compared with other woody angiosperms and herbaceous plants.

Our mean value for the ratio of  $g_m/g_s$  was 1.22, which is close to the value of 1.1 for P. radiata reported by De Lucia et al. (2003). The limitation of photosynthesis attributable to g<sub>m</sub> in our study was 16% and only slightly larger than the limitation attributable to  $g_s$  (13%), with no differences between clones or nutrient supply. Measurements of the limitations to photosynthesis also using the Farquhar and Sharkey method (1982) on mature P. menziesii trees showed that the limitation attributable to mesophyll conductance (20%) was less than the stomatal limitation (30%) in well-watered conditions (Warren et al. 2003). In our study, these limitations to photosynthesis are equivalent to a decrease in the  $CO_2$  concentration gradient between  $C_i$ and  $C_c$  of 48 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, which is within the range given for *P. menziesii* (30–88  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>), although this differs substantially between species (Warren and Adams 2006).

An increase in  $g_{\rm m}$  with increasing rate of photosynthesis is consistent with previous findings from a wide range of species (von Caemmerer and Evans 1991, Loreto et al. 1992, Singsaas et al. 2003, Warren et al. 2003). Using the variable J method and carbon isotopes to estimate  $g_m$  for 15 angiosperm species, Loreto et al. (1992) estimated the slopes of the relationships between  $g_{\rm m} \pmod{{\rm m^{-2} s^{-1}}}$ and rate of photosynthesis,  $A_{\text{sat}}$  (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and stomatal conductance,  $g_s$  (mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), to be 1.4 and 0.025, respectively. Our data for P. radiata superimposed on those of Loreto et al. (1992) showed very similar slopes of 1.16 for  $g_s$  and 0.020 for  $A_{sat}$ , at an air temperature of 20 °C, ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, saturating irradiance and air saturation deficit below 1 kPa (Figure 1). However, Warren and Adams (2006) pointed out that the values of  $g_{\rm m}$ at the same rates of photosynthesis can be quite variable and that plants may have low values of  $g_{\rm m}$ , even in conditions of adequate water and nutrient supply. This causes differences in the magnitude of the gradient between  $C_i$  and  $C_{\rm c}$  and results in an imperfect scaling between  $g_{\rm m}$  and  $A_{\rm sat}$ .

During biochemical limitation of photosynthesis, the differences in nutrient supply (Warren 2004) or illumination in canopies (Warren et al. 2003) are much larger than the differences in  $g_m$ , which results in changes in the degree of limitation of photosynthesis by  $g_m$ . We were not able to resolve such differences between the two clones and with differences Downloaded from http://treephys.oxfordjournals.org/ at UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE on April 17, 2012

in nutrient supply, in part likely due to low precision in measurements (Warren and Adams 2006).

Harley et al. (1992) showed that the estimates of  $g_m$  using the constant J method are highly sensitive to errors in the estimation of  $\Gamma^*$ , such that 10% over- and underestimates in  $\Gamma^*$  led to errors of +92% and -32% in  $g_m$ , respectively. Our mean values of  $\Gamma^*$  (measured at 20 °C) for *P. radiata* were 49  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> and about 7  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> greater than the mean value of  $C_i^*$ . Piel et al. (2002) found  $\Gamma^*$  to be 51  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> and about 3  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> higher than those of  $C_i^*$  in Juglans regia L., while Warren (2006) found  $\Gamma^*$  to be  $67 \ \mu mol \ mol^{-1}$  and about  $15-24 \ \mu mol \ mol^{-1}$  higher than those of  $C_i^*$  in *P. pinaster*, but the measurements in both these studies were done at 25 °C. The response of  $g_{\rm m}$  to temperature is sensitive to the value of  $\Gamma^*$  used in the calculations (Warren 2008). Overall, the value of  $\Gamma^*$  is similar across C3 species (Evans and Loreto 2000), but the sensitivity of  $g_{\rm m}$  to errors in  $\Gamma^*$  increase with increasing  $g_{\rm m}$  and independent estimates of  $\Gamma^*$  under the same experimental growing conditions help to reduce errors (Pons et al. 2009). To test this sensitivity, we refitted the values of  $g_{\rm m}$  using the values of  $C_{\rm i}^*$  rather than those of  $\Gamma^*$ , and we found that the trends were similar to those obtained using  $\Gamma^*$  (Table 4), with only treatment effects being significant ( $F_{3,40} = 5.23, P = 0.004$ ); but the mean values of  $g_{\rm m}$ calculated using  $C_i^*$  were 9% lower than the values of  $g_m$ calculated using  $\Gamma^*$ . We are also aware that  $R_d$  could have been overestimated due to diffusion of CO2 from the gasket of the leaf chamber (Pons and Welschen 2002), but this effect would have been minimized by the adjustment of flow rates to maintain a reasonable difference in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration across the chamber (Pons et al. 2009).

Most values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  reported in the literature are calculated from  $A/C_i$  response curves, rather than  $A/C_c$ curves, with the implicit assumption that mesophyll conductance is infinitely large. When this assumption is invalid, values of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  are underestimated (Harley et al. 1992, Loreto et al. 1992, von Caemmerer 2000, Long and Bernacchi 2003, Ethier and Livingston 2004, Manter and Kerrigan 2004, Ethier et al. 2006). In our study, the difference in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 48  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> between C<sub>i</sub> and  $C_{\rm c}$  resulted in increased values of  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$  of 15.4% and 3.1%, respectively, less than the increase of up to 47% for V<sub>cmax</sub> reported for P. menziesii by Warren et al. (2003). Further, this decreased the slope of the  $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  relationship ( $C_{\text{c}}$  basis:  $J_{\text{max}} = 2.11 V_{\text{cmax}}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.88, P < 0.001; C_i$  basis:  $J_{\text{max}} = 2.43V_{\text{cmax}}, r^2 =$ 0.86, P < 0.001), which is consistent with the findings of Singsaas et al. (2003).

In conclusion, our investigation of the effects of N and P supply on mesophyll conductance in *P. radiata* showed that  $g_{\rm m}$  increased with N and P supply but, because of concomitant increases in the rates of photosynthesis, the degree of limitation attributable to mesophyll conductance did not change between treatments. Loustau et al. (1999) showed that P deficiency resulted in reductions in  $V_{\rm cmax}$  and  $J_{\rm max}$ 

in *P. pinaster*, but the effect could be partly attributable to changes in mesophyll conductance. Our work is the first attempt to measure the effects of P supply on mesophyll conductance, and our results add to the understanding of the response of  $g_m$  to the supply of nutrients. Further, there were no differences in  $g_m$  or mesophyll limitation of photosynthesis between two clones of P. radiata, suggesting that differences in growth rate are independent of differences in limitations to photosynthesis from mesophyll conductance. The  $CO_2$  gradient posed by  $g_m$  was constant at 48  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>, which led to a serious underestimation of 15.4% and 3.1% in  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  values when expressed on a  $C_i$  rather than on a  $C_c$  basis. While estimates of  $V_{cmax}$ and  $J_{\text{max}}$  on a  $C_{\text{i}}$  basis are widely used in models that scale estimates of photosynthesis from leaves to canopies, the use of corrected estimates on a Cc basis enables future models to incorporate limitations to photosynthesis that are not derived solely from carboxylation and electron transport processes.

# Acknowledgments

During this work, the senior author was supported by SCION, the University of Canterbury, the University of Chile and by a Doctoral Scholarship provided by Education New Zealand. We thank Mr. Alan Leckie, Mr. Dave Conder, Mr. Nigel Pink, Mrs. Vicki Wilton and Mr. Lachlan Kirk for their kind advice and valuable technical skills. The experiments and measurements undertaken for this study comply with the current laws of New Zealand.

### References

- Beets, P.N., G.R. Oliver, M.O. Kimberley, S.H. Pearce and B. Rodgers. 2004. Genetic and soil factors associated with variation in visual magnesium deficiency symptoms in *Pinus radiata*. For. Ecol. Manag. 189:263–279.
- Bernacchi, C.J., E.L. Singsaas, C. Pimentel, A.R. Portis Jr and S.P. Long. 2001. Improved temperature response functions for models of Rubisco-limited photosynthesis. Plant Cell Environ. 24:253–259.
- Bernacchi, C.J., A.R. Portis, H. Nakano, S. von Caemmerer and S.P. Long. 2002. Temperature response of mesophyll conductance. Implications for the determination of Rubisco enzyme kinetics and for limitations to photosynthesis in vivo. Plant Physiol. 130:1992–1998.
- Blakemore, L.C., P.L. Searl and B.K. Daly. 1987. Methods for chemical analysis of soils. NZ Soil Bureau Scientific Report 80:21–45.
- Bown, H.E., M.S. Watt, P.W. Clinton, E.G. Mason and B. Richardson. 2007. Partitioning concurrent influences of nitrogen and phosphorus supply on photosynthetic model parameters of *Pinus radiata*. Tree Physiol. 27:335–344.
- Conroy, J.P., R.M. Smillie, M. Kuppers, D.I. Bevege and E.W. Barlow. 1986. Chlorophyll a fluorescence and photosynthetic and growth responses of *Pinus radiata* to phosphorus deficiency, drought, stress, and high CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant Physiol. 81:423–429.
- De Lucia, E.H., D. Whitehead and M.J. Clearwater. 2003. The relative limitation of photosynthesis by mesophyll conductance in co-occurring species in a temperate rainforest

dominated by the conifer *Dacrydium cupressinum*. Funct. Plant Biol. 30:1197–1204.

- Ethier, G.J. and N.J. Livingston. 2004. On the need to incorporate sensitivity to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer conductance into the Farquhar–von Caemmerer–Berry leaf photosynthesis model. Plant Cell Environ. 27:137–153.
- Ethier, G.J., N.J. Livingston, D.L. Harrison, T.A. Black and J.A. Moran. 2006. Low stomatal and internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> versus Rubisco deactivation as determinants of the photosynthetic decline of ageing evergreen leaves. Plant Cell Environ. 29:2168–2184.
- Evans, J.R. and F. Loreto. 2000. Acquisition and diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> in higher plant leaves. *In* Photosynthesis: Physiology and Metabolism. Eds. R.C. Leegood, T.D. Sharkey and S. von Caemmerer. Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, pp 321–351.
- Evans, J.R. and I. Terashima. 1988. Photosynthetic characteristics of spinach leaves grown with different nitrogen treatments. Plant Cell Physiol. 29:157–165.
- Farquhar, G.D. and T.D. Sharkey. 1982. Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 33:317–345.
- Farquhar, G.D., S. von Caemmerer and J.A. Berry. 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species. Planta 149:78–90.
- Flexas, J., M. Ribas-Carbó, A. Diaz-Espejo, J. Galmés and H. Medrano. 2008. Mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>: current knowledge and future prospects. Plant Cell Environ. 31: 602–621.
- Genty, B., J. Briantais and N.R. Baker. 1989. The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 990:87–92.
- Grassi, G. and F. Magnani. 2005. Stomatal, mesophyll conductance and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis as affected by drought and leaf ontogeny in ash and oak trees. Plant Cell Environ. 28:834–849.
- Grassi, G., P. Meier, R. Cromer, D. Tompkins and P.G. Jarvis. 2002. Photosynthetic parameters in seedlings of *Eucalyptus* grandis as affected by rate of nitrogen supply. Plant Cell Environ. 25:1677–1688.
- Harley, P.C., F. Loreto, G. Di Marco and T.D. Sharkey. 1992. Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant Physiol. 98:1429–1436.
- Ingestad, T. 1979. Mineral nutrient requirements of *Pinus* silvestris and *Picea abies* seedlings. Physiol. Plant. 45:373–380.
- Jones, H.G. 1992. Plants and microclimate: a quantitative approach to environmental physiology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 428 p.
- Li, Y., Y. Gao, X. Xu, Q. Shen and S. Guo. 2009. Lightsaturated photosynthetic rate in high-nitrogen rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) leaves is related to chloroplastic CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. J. Exp. Bot. 60:2351–2360.
- Long, S.P. and C.J. Bernacchi. 2003. Gas exchange measurements, what can they tell us about the underlying limitations to photosynthesis? Procedures and sources of error. J. Exp. Bot. 54:2393–2401.
- Loreto, F., P.C. Harley, G. Di Marco and T.D. Sharkey. 1992. Estimation of mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> flux by three different methods. Plant Physiol. 98:1437–1443.
- Loustau, D., M. Brahim, J.P. Gaudillere and E. Dreyer. 1999. Photosynthetic responses to phosphorus nutrition in

two-year-old maritime pine seedlings. Tree Physiol. 19: 707–715.

- Manter, D.K. and J. Kerrigan. 2004.  $A/C_i$  curve analysis across a range of woody plant species: influence of regression analysis parameters and mesophyll conductance. J. Exp. Bot. 55:2581–2588.
- Peisker, M. and H. Apel. 2001. Inhibition by light of  $CO_2$  evolution from dark respiration: comparison of two gas exchange methods. Photosynth. Res. 70:291–298.
- Piel, C., E. Frak, X. Le Roux and B. Genty. 2002. Effect of local irradiance on CO<sub>2</sub> transfer conductance of mesophyll in walnut. J. Exp. Bot. 53:2423–2430.
- Pons, T.L. and R.A.M. Welschen. 2002. Overestimation of respiration rates in commercially available clamp-on leaf chambers. Complications with measurement of net photosynthesis. Plant Cell Environ. 25:1367–1372.
- Pons, T.L., J. Flexas, S. von Caemmerer, J.R. Evans, B. Genty, M. Ribas-Carbo and E. Brugnoli. 2009. Estimating mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>: methodology, potential errors, and recommendations. J. Exp. Bot. 60:2217–2234.
- Schreiber, U., W. Bilger and C. Neubauer. 1994. Chlorophyll fluorescence as a nonintrusive indicator for rapid assessment of in vivo photosynthesis. *In* Ecophysiology of Photosyn-z thesis. Eds. E.D. Schulze and M.M. Caldwell. Springer, Berlin, pp 49–70.
- Singsaas, E.L., D.R. Ort and E.H. De Lucia. 2003. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on mesophyll conductance and its consequences for interpreting photosynthetic physiology. Plant Cell Environ. 27:41–50.
- von Caemmerer, S. 2000. Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, 165 p.
- von Caemmerer, S. and J.R. Evans. 1991. Determination of the average partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in chloroplasts from leaves of several C<sub>3</sub> plants. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 18:287–305.
- von Caemmerer, S. and G.D. Farquhar. 1981. Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. Planta 153:376–387.
- Walcroft, A.S., D. Whitehead, W.B. Silvester and F.M. Kelliher. 1997. The response of photosynthetic model parameters to temperature and nitrogen concentration in *Pinus radiata* D. Don. Plant Cell Environ. 20:1338–1348.
- Warren, C.R. 2004. The photosynthetic limitation posed by internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> movement is increased by nutrient supply. J. Exp. Bot. 55:2313–2321.
- Warren, C.R. 2006. Why does photosynthesis decrease with needle age in *Pinus pinaster*? Trees 20:157–164.
- Warren, C.R. 2008. Does growth temperature affect the temperature response of photosynthesis and internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>? A test with *Eucalyptus regnans*. Tree Physiol. 28:11–19.
- Warren, C.R. and M.A. Adams. 2006. Internal conductance does not scale with photosynthetic capacity: implications for carbon isotope discrimination and the economics of water and nitrogen use in photosynthesis. Plant Cell Environ. 29:192–201.
- Warren, C.R., G.J. Ethier, N.J. Livingston, N.J. Grant, D.H. Turpin, D.L. Harrison and T.A. Black. 2003. Transfer conductance in second growth Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) canopies. Plant Cell Environ. 26:1215–1227.
- Warren, C.R., N.J. Livingston and D.H. Turpin. 2004. Water stress decreases the transfer conductance of Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) seedlings. Tree Physiol. 24:971–979.